

Saving lives  
through research  
and education

# Did the Great Recession Keep Bad Drivers Off the Road?

*May 2015*



## Title

---

Did The Great Recession Keep Bad Drivers Off The Road? (*May 2015*)

## Authors

---

Vikram Maheshri – *University of Houston*  
Clifford Winston – *Brookings Institution*

## About the Sponsor

---

AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety  
607 14th Street, NW, Suite 201  
Washington, DC 20005  
202-638-5944  
[www.aaafoundation.org](http://www.aaafoundation.org)

Founded in 1947, the AAA Foundation in Washington, D.C. is a not-for-profit, publicly supported charitable research and education organization dedicated to saving lives by preventing traffic crashes and reducing injuries when crashes occur. Funding for this report was provided by voluntary contributions from AAA/CAA and their affiliated motor clubs, from individual members, from AAA-affiliated insurance companies, as well as from other organizations or sources.

This publication is distributed by the AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety at no charge, as a public service. It may not be resold or used for commercial purposes without the explicit permission of the Foundation. It may, however, be copied in whole or in part and distributed for free via any medium, provided the AAA Foundation is given appropriate credit as the source of the material. The AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety assumes no liability for the use or misuse of any information, opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations contained in this report.

If trade or manufacturer's names are mentioned, it is only because they are considered essential to the object of this report and their mention should not be construed as an endorsement. The AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety does not endorse products or manufacturers.

©2015, AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety

## Preface

---

According to data from the United States Department of Transportation, more than 40,000 people died in motor vehicle crashes on U.S. roads each and every year from 1963 through 2007 with the sole exception of 1992. Then, in the four years from 2008 through 2011, the number of people killed in crashes each year plunged to 32,479. While still representing an unacceptable societal toll, this represented the fewest fatalities that occurred in any year in the U.S. since 1949.

While improvements in highway infrastructure, vehicle design, and driver behavior clearly played some role in reducing the number of people killed in crashes each year, it is likely that other factors were involved as well, and studies to date have not been able to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of the causes of this unprecedented decrease in annual motor vehicle-related deaths. Notably, this decrease coincided with a significant economic recession, which past studies have found to be correlated with reductions in motor vehicle crashes, injuries, and deaths. Generally, recessions are associated with decreased incomes for individuals, increased unemployment, declines in business profits, and increased bankruptcies. Understanding how factors outside of the purview of traffic safety professionals and policy-makers—such as some of the aforementioned economic factors— influence rates of crashes, injuries, and deaths is critical to understanding the effects of traffic safety. However, the mechanisms by which economic factors such as recessions influence traffic safety outcomes are not well understood, largely due to limitations in available data.

To address this research gap, the AAA Foundation funded researchers at Brookings Institution to conduct unique research on a novel source of disaggregate vehicle-level data, to improve our understanding of the relationship between economic factors, individual-level travel behavior, and implications for traffic safety. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations in this report are those of its authors, and are not necessarily those of the AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety.

## Abstract

---

Motorists' fatalities and the fatality rate (roadway deaths per vehicle-mile traveled (VMT)) tend to decrease during recessions. Using a novel dataset of individual drivers, we establish that recessions have differential impacts on driving behavior by decreasing the VMT of observably risky drivers, such as those over age 60, and by increasing the VMT of observably safer drivers.

## Introduction

---

Highway safety has steadily improved during the past several decades, but traffic fatalities, exceeding more than 30,000 annually, are still one of the leading causes of non-disease deaths in the United States. The United States also has the highest traffic accident fatality rate in developed countries among people of age 24 and younger, despite laws that ban drinking until the age of 21. In addition to those direct costs, traffic accidents account for a large share of highway congestion and delays (Winston and Mannering (2014)) and increase insurance premiums for all motorists (Edlin and Karaca-Mandic (2006)).

It is not an exaggeration to suggest that reducing MVCs and their associated costs should be among the nation's most important microeconomic policy goals. The top line in Figure 1 shows that automobile fatalities have followed a downward trend since the 1970s, and have fallen especially rapidly during recessions, which are shaded in the figure.

A natural explanation is that those declines are simply a consequence of the decrease in vehicle miles travelled (VMT) that typically accompanies a recession. With a smaller labor force commuting to work, fewer goods being shipped along overland routes, and less overall economic activity, a decline in traffic fatalities is no surprise. But the darker line in the figure shows that the fatality *rate* (fatalities per VMT) has also decreased more sharply during recessions than during other parts of the business cycle.<sup>1</sup> This implies that factors other than declining VMT contribute to the reduction in fatalities that tends to occur when real economic activity contracts. The purpose of this paper is to document those factors.

Researchers have documented that cyclical fluctuations in economic conditions affect most major sources of accidental deaths, including motor vehicle accidents, and they have concluded that fatalities resulting from most of those sources decline approximately in proportion to the severity of cyclical contractions in economic activity (Ruhm (2000), Evans and Moore (2012)).<sup>2</sup> Huff Stevens et al. (2011) found that overall death rates rose when

---

<sup>1</sup> In a simple time series regression of the change in the fatality rate on a time trend recession dummy with seasonal controls, the coefficient on the recession dummy is negative and statistically significant at the 99% level.

<sup>2</sup> Ruhm (2013) finds that although *total* mortality from all causes has shifted over time from being strongly procyclical to being essentially unrelated to macroeconomic conditions, deaths due to transportation accidents continue to be procyclical. Stewart and Cutler (2014) characterize driving as a behavioral risk factor. But in contrast to other risk factors such as obesity and drug overdoses, motorists' safer driving and safer vehicles have led to health improvements over the time period from 1960 to 2010.

unemployment fell, and argued that this relationship could be explained by labor shortages that resulted in elderly people receiving worse health care in nursing homes as the economy expanded. But, as noted by Peterman (2013), this line of research does not explain why the percentage decline in automobile fatalities during recessions has been so much greater than the percentage decline in VMT. In 2009, for example, VMT declined less than 1%, but fatalities declined fully 9%.

There are several leading hypotheses that have been proposed to explain the sharper decline in the automobile fatality rate during recessions, including:

- motorists drive more safely because they are under less time pressure to get to various destinations (especially if they are unemployed and have a lower value of travel time than they have when they were working);
- households try to save money by engaging in less discretionary or recreational driving, such as Sunday drives into the country on less-safe roads;
- motorists become risk averse because of the economic strain during a recession and drive more carefully to avoid a financially devastating accident;<sup>3</sup>
- recessions may cause a change in the mix or composition of drivers on the road that results in less risk and greater safety because the most dangerous drivers account for a smaller share of total VMT.

To the best of our knowledge, researchers have not tested those hypotheses empirically because the data on *individual* drivers' VMT, socioeconomic and vehicle characteristics, and safety records during recessionary and non-recessionary periods that would be required to do so are not publicly available. Publicly available data on VMT are generally aggregated to at least the metropolitan area or state level and suffer from potentially serious measurement problems. For example, nationwide VMT statistics that are released by the federal government are not based on surveys of individual drivers' VMT; instead, they are estimated from data on gasoline tax revenues to determine the amount of gasoline consumed which is then multiplied by an estimate of the average fuel efficiency of the nation's vehicle fleet.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> As a related point, Coates and Herbert (2008) conducted experiments and found that people became more risk averse as economic volatility became greater. This may occur during a recession.

<sup>4</sup> The government also collects VMT data from the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) and from Traffic Volume Trends (TVT) data. HPMS data count vehicles on a highway under the assumption that those vehicles traverse a certain length of highway. So, if 10,000 cars are counted per day on a midpoint of a segment of road that is 10 miles long, the daily VMT on that segment is estimated as 100,000. Those data suffer from a number of problems including (1) they are aggregated across drivers, so the best that can be done is to distinguish between cars and large trucks; (2) the vehicle counts are recorded at a single point and assumed to remain constant over the entire road segment, ignoring the entry and exit of other vehicles; (3) daily and seasonal variation in traffic counts is unaccounted for; and (4) the traffic counts are infrequently updated. The final problem causes the HPMS data to be especially inaccurate during unstable economic periods like recessions when VMT could decrease significantly. The TVT data are VMT estimates that are derived from a network of about 4,000 permanent traffic counting stations that do not move and that

This paper avoids the problems associated with the publicly available data and instead analyzes motorists' safety over the business cycle using a novel, disaggregated data set obtained by State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company (hereafter State Farm®) from a private supplier. It relies on a new generation of information technologies, referred to as telematics, which remotely record individual vehicles' exact VMT from odometer readings and store information about drivers and their safety records. This unique data set enables us to identify heterogeneous adjustments in vehicle use to changes in local economic conditions across a large number of motorists and to assess how differences in their adjustments may affect overall road safety.

Capturing motorists' heterogeneous responses turns out to be important because we find that changes in local unemployment do not affect the average VMT per driver across all drivers, but they *do* affect the composition of drivers on the road. In particular, we find that the drivers in our sample who are likely to pose the greatest risks to safety, as indicated by several observable characteristics such as the driver's age and accident history, reduce their VMT in response to increasing unemployment. As a result, safer drivers account for a larger share of VMT during periods when aggregate unemployment is high. This finding reconciles the two key safety-related phenomena observed during recessions: the large (and typically permanent) decline in aggregate automobile fatalities and the modest (and usually transient) decline in aggregate VMT, which we note may be spuriously correlated.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, the quantitative effect of the change in driver composition on automobile safety is economically significant: our estimates suggest that the change in the composition of VMT that results from an increase in the nationwide unemployment rate of 1 percentage point could save nearly 5,000 lives nationwide per year.

---

operate continuously. Unfortunately, the locations were explicitly determined by their convenience to the state Departments of Transportation instead of by a more representative sampling strategy.

<sup>5</sup> The decline in aggregate VMT could be due to measurement error in the publicly available aggregate VMT data, reduced commercial driving activity, or other unobserved determinants of recessions that are correlated with driving. In contrast, we argue that our finding that increases in unemployment do not affect average VMT per driver is causal.

## Methods

---

### *Data and Empirical Strategy*

Previous analyses of automobile safety, such as Crandall et al. (1986) and Edlin and Karaca-Mandic (2006), have taken an aggregate approach to estimate the relationship between accident fatalities and VMT by including state-level controls for motorists' socioeconomic characteristics (e.g., average age and income), riskiness (e.g., alcohol consumption), vehicle characteristics (e.g., average vehicle age), and the driving environment (e.g., the share of rural highways). Taking advantage of our novel data set, we take a disaggregated approach that focuses on individual drivers to estimate the effect of changes in the macroeconomic environment on automobile fatalities, which could be transmitted through three channels:

- individual drivers might respond to the economic changes by altering their behavior;
- the composition of drivers or vehicles in use might respond to the economic changes;
- the driving environment itself might be affected in ways that influence automobile safety (e.g., the public sector might increase spending on road maintenance as fiscal stimulus).

Our empirical analysis is based on data provided to us by State Farm (hereafter referred to as the State Farm data).<sup>6</sup> State Farm obtained a large, monthly sample of drivers in the state of Ohio containing exact odometer readings transmitted wirelessly (a non-zero figure was always reported) from August 2009, in the midst of the Great Recession, to September 2013, which was well into the economic recovery.<sup>7</sup> The number of distinct household observations in the sample steadily increased from 1,907 in August 2009 to 9,955 in May 2011 and then stabilized with very little attrition thereafter.<sup>8</sup> The sample also contains information about each driver's county of residence, which is where their travel originates and tends to be concentrated, safety record based on accident claims during the sample period, socioeconomic characteristics, and vehicle characteristics. For each of the 88 counties in Ohio, we measured the fluctuations in its economic activity and the effects of the recession by its unemployment rate.<sup>9</sup> We use the unemployment rate because it is easy to interpret and because other standard measures of economic activity, such as gross output, are not well measured at the county-month level. Using the size of

---

<sup>6</sup> We are grateful to Jeff Myers of State Farm for his valuable assistance with and explanation of the data. We stress that no personal identifiable information was utilized in our analysis and that the interpretations and recommendations in this paper do not necessarily reflect those of State Farm.

<sup>7</sup> Although the National Bureau of Economic Research determined that the Great Recession officially ended in the United States in June 2009, Ohio was one of the slowest states in the nation to recover and its economy was undoubtedly still in a recession when our sample began.

<sup>8</sup> Less than 2% of households left the sample on average in each month. This attrition was not statistically significantly correlated with observed socioeconomic or vehicle characteristics.

<sup>9</sup> Monthly data on county unemployment were obtained from the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

the labor force residing in each county instead of the unemployment rate did not lead to any changes in our findings.<sup>10</sup>

The sample is well-suited for our purposes because drivers' average daily VMT and Ohio's county unemployment rates exhibit considerable longitudinal and cross-sectional variation. Figure 2 shows that drivers' average daily VMT over the period we examine ranges from a few miles to more than 100 miles, and Figure 3 shows that county unemployment rates range from less than 5% to more than 15%. Finally, we show in Figure 4 that for our sample average daily VMT and the unemployment rate are negatively correlated (the measured correlation is -0.40), which provides a starting point for explaining why automobile fatalities are procyclical.

We summarize the county, household, and vehicle characteristics in the sample that we use for our empirical analysis in Table 1. Although we do not observe any time-varying characteristics of individual drivers, such as their employment status, we do observe monthly odometer readings from drivers' vehicles that allow us to compute time-varying measures of their average daily VMT. The drivers included in our sample are generally the policyholders, who are also generally the heads of their respective households. The sample does suffer from potential biases, because individual drivers self-select to subscribe to telematics services and to allow their driving and accident information to be obtained by State Farm. Thus, compared with a random sample of Ohio drivers, the sample tends to contain older drivers, with the average age of the household head nearly 60, a greater share of vehicles that are less than two years old, and in all likelihood a greater share of safer drivers, although this is admittedly speculative because several unobserved factors contribute to a driver's overall riskiness.

To assess the potential bias on our findings, we obtained county-month level data from State Farm containing the characteristics of all drivers in the (Ohio) population, including their age, household size, and the share of trucks or SUVs that they drive, and we used that data to replicate our analysis using a variety of sampling weights for each observed characteristic. But because it is not clear which weights are most suitable and because we expect that unweighted regressions using our sample of hypothesized disproportionately safe drivers should yield conservative estimates of the effect of the Great Recession on automobile safety, we initially report the results from the unweighted regressions. As a sensitivity check, we then report our main findings using sampling weights for the age of drivers in the population.

Another consideration regarding our sample—and generally any disaggregated sample of drivers' behavior—is that although it consists of a large number of observations (291,834 driver-months, covering 15,228 drivers, and 17,766 vehicles, none of which was strictly used for commercial purposes), only a very small share of drivers ever experiences a fatal automobile accident. Thus our sample would have to be considerably larger than 300,000 driver-months to: (1) assess whether the change in fatalities during a business cycle can be explained by more than a change VMT alone; and (2) identify the specific causal mechanism at work by jointly estimating how individual drivers' employment status

---

<sup>10</sup> We considered allowing the county unemployment rate to vary by age classifications, but such data were not available, perhaps because employment in certain age classifications may have been too sparse in lightly populated counties.

affects their VMT, and how any resulting change in their VMT affects their likelihood of being involved in a fatal automobile accident.

Accordingly, our empirical strategy proceeds as follows:


1. We identify the causal effect of changes in the local economic environment over our sample period, as measured by the local unemployment rate, on the driving behavior of individual drivers, as measured by the variation in their monthly VMT. We first carry out this estimation at the aggregate (county) level, which appears to show that the primary channel through which increasing unemployment reduces fatalities is by reducing VMT. Estimating identical model specifications using disaggregated measures of average monthly VMT for individual drivers, however, reveals that rising local unemployment has no apparent effect on *individual* drivers' VMT.
2. In order to ascribe a causal interpretation to these estimates and address concerns about endogeneity bias, we replicate these disaggregate estimations using an instrumental variables approach that relies on plausibly exogenous spatial variation in economic conditions. The results reinforce our previous finding that the variation in local unemployment has no apparent effect on individual drivers' VMT.
3. We enrich our analysis by estimating heterogeneous effects of local economic conditions on VMT by individual driver and vehicle characteristics. We find that plausibly riskier drivers disproportionately reduce their driving in response to adverse economic conditions.

This sequence of steps confirms that the first two channels noted above—changes in drivers' behavior and in their composition—may affect automobile fatalities during a recession. Although we are unable to separate the contributions of these two effects, both responses suggest that an important reason that highway safety improves during a recession is that a larger share of VMT is accounted for by safer drivers during periods of greater unemployment.

4. Finally, we identify the effect of the local unemployment rate on the local automobile fatality *rate* (as measured by fatalities per VMT), and find that rising unemployment within a county has a statistically and economically significant effect in reducing that county's fatality rate.

Our analysis controls for a variety of factors related to the driving environment in order to explore the extent to which this effect is mediated solely through safer driving by some individuals (including switching to safer vehicles) or by changes in the representation of more and less risky drivers on the road. Although we cannot control for all of the unobserved factors that characterize the driving environment, our results strongly suggest that the notable improvement in safety during the Great Recession has occurred largely because risky drivers' share of total VMT has decreased.

**Figure 2.** Distribution of Daily VMT in Ohio, 2009-2013.



Source: State Farm Data.

**Table 1.** Summary Statistics

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Dev.</b>
Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)	28.85	20.51
County unemployment rate (Percent)	9.35	2.49
Age of household head	59.71	15.34
Share of households that filed an accident claim during our sample period	0.16	0.36
Share of household heads aged between 30 and 50	0.25	0.43
Share of households with one or two members	0.29	0.46
Share of new cars (less than or equal to 2 years old)	0.77	0.42
Share of old cars (over 4 years)	0.08	0.27
Share of compact or subcompact cars	0.05	0.21
Share of trucks or SUVs	0.18	0.38
Number of Observations		291,834
Number of Months		49
Number of Vehicles		17,766

Notes: The monthly sample spans August 2009 to September 2013. The county unemployment rate is reported by the US Bureau of Labor Statistics. All other variables are obtained from State Farm. The variables expressed as shares are defined as dummy variables in our empirical analysis; standard deviations in the table are computed accordingly.

## Results

---

### *Economic Conditions and VMT*

Based on aggregate statistics, it is widely believed that an economic downturn causes VMT to decline, which is central to understanding why automobile safety improves during recessions. We first investigate the relationship between economic conditions and VMT by estimating an aggregate regression of average daily county VMT, as computed from the State Farm sample of drivers and the county unemployment rate. We stress that those estimates should not be interpreted as causal, because as noted below they may suffer from endogeneity bias; nevertheless, they offer a useful comparison with other findings in the literature. The estimation results presented in the first column of Table 2 indicate that recessions are associated with declines in VMT, and that this effect is statistically significant.

As shown in the second column, the estimated coefficient increases somewhat when we control for county and year-month fixed effects, although its statistical significance declines from the 99% to the 90% level. Thus our use of the State Farm data to measure aggregated VMT and to estimate the relationship between it and unemployment yields results that are consistent with the conventional wisdom. This not only provides some reassurance about the accuracy of the VMT information obtained from the State Farm data, but should also eliminate one source of potential bias in the data that could affect our findings.

In order to take advantage of the unique panel of drivers that we observe, we re-estimate the two aggregate specifications at the driver level by computing each driver's average daily VMT from the monthly odometer readings on his or her vehicle. As noted, we do not observe individuals' employment status over time, but the specifications can shed light on whether unobserved driver characteristics are correlated with county-level unemployment rates, which could bias the aggregate results. The estimates in the third column of table 2 show that the effect of the county unemployment rate on individual VMT is considerably weaker as its estimated coefficient (-0.03) is barely non-zero but is precisely estimated.

As shown in columns 4 and 5, the effect of local unemployment on individual drivers' VMT clearly remains both statistically and economically insignificant when we include county, year-month, and individual driver fixed effects. Most important, the estimates from the disaggregated analysis differ statistically significantly from the estimates obtained using aggregate data. This result provides evidence that individual drivers' responses to local economic conditions vary considerably, and casts serious doubt on the conventional wisdom that aggregate relationships between VMT and economic conditions identified in previous research can be interpreted as evidence that recessions reduce fatalities simply by reducing the level of automobile use.

To address that issue more carefully, we use instrumental variables to verify that we have reliably identified the causal relationship between local economic conditions and VMT. Specifically, we use the unemployment rate in *neighboring* counties as an instrument for the unemployment rate in a given county and estimate the relationship

between individual VMT of drivers in each county and that county's unemployment rate using two-stage least squares.

Our identification strategy rests on the assumption that changes in economic conditions in surrounding counties are not related to unobserved determinants of changes in driving behavior in a given county. This is likely to be the case because according to the 2006 to 2010 American Community Surveys from the U.S. Census, nearly three quarters of Ohio workers were employed in the county where they resided, and according to the most recent National Household Travel Survey (NHTS) taken in 2009, roughly half of all vehicle trips were less than 5 miles.<sup>11</sup> At the same time, economic linkages are likely to make the economic conditions in neighboring counties a good predictor for the economic conditions in a given county. Because unemployment in a neighboring county might be correlated with unobserved determinants of cross-county trips for purposes other than commuting to work, however, we explore the robustness of our instrument by also examining more distant counties.

Figure 5 presents a map of Ohio that demarcates its 88 counties and their spatial relationships; note that the variation of county borders is likely to generate additional variation in unemployment rates between neighboring counties. Following the argument above, we constructed instruments for the unemployment rate of each county: (1) the unemployment rates of neighboring counties (for example, the unemployment rates of Ross, Pike, Adams, Brown, Clinton, and Fayette counties were used as instruments for the unemployment rate of Highland county); and (2) the unemployment rates of neighbors of neighboring counties (for example, the unemployment rates of Clermont, Warren, Greene, Madison, Pickaway, Hocking, Vinton, Jackson, and Scioto counties were used as instruments for the unemployment rate of Highland county). Our first instrument is likely to give a superior prediction of the unemployment rate of a given county, while the second instrument is more likely to provide plausible exogenous variation in the unemployment rate of a given county.

We showed previously that Ohio county unemployment rates exhibited considerable variation.<sup>12</sup> The scatterplot in Figure 6 indicates that a given Ohio county's unemployment rate bears a strong positive relationship to its neighboring counties' unemployment rates.

The persistence of unemployment suggests that lagged values of the instruments are also likely to be correlated with the county unemployment rates. We exploited this fact by specifying lagged values of neighboring county unemployment rates as additional instruments. Figure 7 shows the strength of as many as six monthly lags and indicates that all of them have some explanatory power in a first-stage regression of county unemployment rates.<sup>13</sup> These additional instruments improve the strength of the first-stage regression and also crucially provide the means to conduct over-identification tests of our instruments' validity.

---

<sup>11</sup> The NHTS is available at <http://nhts.ornl.gov>.

<sup>12</sup> The wide distribution of unemployment rates in neighboring counties during any single month is also similar to the wide distribution of county unemployment rates, ranging from below 5% to more than 15%.

<sup>13</sup> Our findings did not change when we used fewer lags.

Table 3 reports instrumental variables estimates of the relationship between VMT and county unemployment rates using six monthly lags for the neighboring and neighbors of neighboring county instruments. The specification in the first column obtains our previous finding based on OLS estimation that the county unemployment rate has a negative statistically significant effect on aggregate (county) VMT. The remaining specifications show that the county unemployment rate has a statistically insignificant effect on an individual driver's VMT regardless of which of the two instruments we use and of whether we include the various fixed effects in the specification. Moreover, we cannot reject our exclusion restriction for any of the specifications as indicated by the p-values associated with the over-identification tests (Hansen (1982)). Taken together, we interpret those results as strong evidence in support of our identification strategy. The estimated effects are also highly economically insignificant; for example, the fifth specification in Table 3 allows us to conclude with 95% confidence that a one percentage point increase in the unemployment rate causes drivers to decrease their daily VMT by no more than 0.09 miles.<sup>14</sup>

However, this very small aggregate effect may mask heterogeneous and large effects for different subpopulations. We exploit our disaggregated data to identify heterogeneous effects of economic conditions on drivers' VMT by including in our main regressions interactions of the unemployment rate with both driver and vehicle characteristics.<sup>15</sup> The driver's characteristics indicated whether the driver had filed an accident claim at any time during the sample period, whether the driver is between the ages of 30 and 50 years old, whether the driver is over 60 years old, and whether the driver lives alone or with only one other person. The driver's vehicle characteristics indicated whether it is at least five years old and whether it is an SUV or a truck. In all of these specifications, we specified the county unemployment rate by itself and its interaction with driver and vehicle characteristics, again using the neighboring unemployment rates as instruments for the county unemployment rate.<sup>16</sup>

Empirical evidence obtained by other researchers suggests that in addition to a driver's accident history these demographic categories could be important and distinct. Tefft (2012) provides evidence from 1995 to 2010 that mileage-based crash rates were highest for the youngest drivers, who are under-represented in the State Farm data, and decreased until age 60, after which they increased slightly. It is reasonable to characterize drivers in small (1 or 2 person households) as less likely to drive as safely as drivers in larger households, because automobile insurance companies consider married people to be safer drivers than their unmarried counterparts, as evidenced by the significant discounts

---

<sup>14</sup> Given that we obtained very similar results with both sets of instruments, which are constructed using different spatial information, it is likely that our empirical strategy and specification avoid potential spatial autocorrelation of the error terms.

<sup>15</sup> We interacted those characteristics with the local unemployment rates instead of including those variables separately to capture the idea that changes in the unemployment rate are likely to affect the mix of drivers on the road by simultaneously affecting all motorists' travel behavior.

<sup>16</sup> We obtained similar results when we used the unemployment rates of the neighbors of neighboring counties as instruments.

on their automobile insurance rates that they offer. In addition, people with children tend to see themselves as serving as role models in road safety for their children (Muir et al. (2010)).

NHTSA (2013) provides evidence that drivers' safety declines with the age of their vehicles. Recent safety improvements, in particular electronic stability control systems that make vehicles less likely to flip, are responsible for at least part of the drop in deaths associated with the latest model year vehicles.<sup>17</sup> Various other studies indicate that while drivers' safety increases when they travel in vehicles in larger size classifications such as SUVs and trucks (for example, Jacobsen (2013)), drivers of those vehicles tend to be safer than other drivers regardless of the vehicles they drive. Train and Winston (2007), for example, found that drivers in households with children are more likely to own SUVs and vans than are other drivers. A counterargument is that such drivers may engage in risky offsetting behavior by driving recklessly in their larger and safer vehicles (Peltzman (1975)), but there are other factors that lead drivers to select those vehicles that apparently enable them to be classified by automobile insurance companies (including State Farm) as safer drivers when compared with drivers of other vehicle size classifications.<sup>18</sup>

Two potential sources of endogeneity are present in the regressions. First, unobserved determinants of driving behavior could be correlated with local unemployment rates, but our instrumental variables should control for those unobservables following the earlier argument and empirical support for our instruments. Second, unobserved determinants of driving behavior could be correlated with drivers' demographic characteristics, or with attributes of the vehicles they drive. This source of endogeneity, however, should not affect our causal interpretation that the coefficients simply capture heterogeneous effects of local unemployment on individual driving behavior. For example, if we find that drivers over the age of 60 decrease their VMT in response to local unemployment, it does not matter whether the reduction is attributable to age itself or attributable to an unobserved factor—like retirement—that is correlated with age. This would not undermine our central finding that different drivers respond differently to changes in local economic conditions.<sup>19</sup>

---

<sup>17</sup> As a rough attempt to control for the type of people who drive new cars, Andrea Fuller and Christina Rogers, "Safety Gear Helps Reduce U.S. Traffic Deaths," *Wall Street Journal*, December 19, 2014 report that new models from 2013 had a noticeably lower fatality rate than comparable brand-new cars had five years earlier.

<sup>18</sup> Since 2009, it does appear that some offsetting driver behavior has been occurring while vehicles have become safer and total U.S. vehicle traffic and pedestrian deaths have been declining, because pedestrian deaths as a share of total vehicle-related deaths have been increasing.

<sup>19</sup> Although we do not invoke an exogeneity assumption about the effect of socioeconomic characteristics on utilization, it is worth noting that a long line of empirical research on consumers' utilization of durable goods (for example, Dubin and McFadden (1984)) has argued that it is reasonable to treat socioeconomic characteristics, such as drivers' ages and household size, as exogenous influences on VMT, and that Winston, Maheshri, and Mannering (2006) did not find that VMT had an independent effect on the probability of a driver being involved in an accident. It is possible that unobserved variables that influence VMT are correlated with a driver's age and household size, but our primary interest in estimating the VMT regression is to explore whether the effect of unemployment on driving is different for different groups of people. As noted, the drivers in

The parameter estimates in the first column of Table 4 show that even after controlling for other factors, the county unemployment rate's average effect on an individual driver's VMT remains statistically insignificant.<sup>20</sup> But the statistically significant coefficient estimates on the various interaction terms show that drivers who experienced an accident during the sample period, who were over the age of 60, and who lived either by themselves or with only one other person did significantly reduce their VMT as the county unemployment rate increased. In contrast, drivers who were between the age of 30 and 50 increased their VMT as the county unemployment rate increased.

The parameter estimates in the second column indicate that, all else constant, the county unemployment rate's effect on an individual driver's VMT was statistically insignificant, but that drivers of vehicles that were at least five years old reduced their VMT as the county unemployment rate increased and that drivers of SUVs and light trucks increased their VMT as the county unemployment rate rose. Finally, as shown in the third column, any possible bias in the parameters of any of the socioeconomic characteristics does not appear to affect the estimates of the vehicle characteristics (and vice-versa), because the estimated parameters of both sets of characteristics change little when they were included in the same specification.<sup>21</sup>

As we summarize in the following chart, the general thrust of our estimation results is that economic fluctuations, as indicated by changes in the unemployment rate, affect the VMT of individual drivers, as characterized by various characteristics, differentially.

<b>Characteristic</b>	<b>High risk or low risk?</b>	<b>Impact of recession on VMT</b>
Accident claim filed	High	Negative
Age 30-50	Low	Positive
Age 60+	High	Negative
Lives in 1-2 person household	High	Negative
Car 5+ years old	High	Negative
SUV or truck	Low	Positive

the State Farm data may not be representative of the population of drivers in Ohio, but our central goal is to document the selected effects of unemployment on those drivers' VMT. Finally, Mannering and Winston (1985) showed that although, in theory, VMT is jointly determined with vehicle type choice (i.e., make, model, and vintage), and thus with vehicle characteristics, Mannering (1983) has argued that vehicle characteristics could be treated as exogenous in VMT equations if VMT were being analyzed over a short time period as we do here.

<sup>20</sup> Our basic findings did not change for any of the specifications in the table when we specified VMT in logarithms to control for the possibility that different demographic groups had substantially different VMT baselines.

<sup>21</sup> Unemployment in Ohio is quite seasonal and there may be different seasonal effects for different demographic groups. For example, drivers over the age of 60 may have different seasonal driving patterns than younger drivers (e.g., they may drive less when it is dark, and therefore drive less during the winter than other groups drive). To address this possibility, we explored interacting monthly dummy variables with a given demographic characteristic but we did not find any changes in the results.

Moreover, it appears that these heterogeneous effects cause riskier drivers to reduce their VMT, while at the same time causing safer drivers to increase their VMT. It is reasonable to interpret the estimated change in the overall mix of drivers as conservative, because it is likely that safer drivers are already overrepresented in the State Farm data.

Why, compared with other drivers, do riskier drivers appear to reduce their VMT during a recession, even if their employment situation remains unchanged? One possibility is a correlation between less safe drivers and risk aversion that is reinforced by economic downturns. For example, Dohmen et al. (2011) conducted a study of attitudes toward risk in different domains of life and found that older people were much less willing than younger people to take risks when driving, which could lead to them taking fewer risky trips, such as driving in bad weather, late at night, on less-safe roads, or after they had been drinking. Individuals in our sample who were previously involved in an accident may have also developed some new aversion to driving and may thus have taken fewer risky trips during the recession. The financial stress caused by a recession may even cause drivers who were not initially risk averse to take fewer risky trips. Cotti and Tefft (2011) found that alcohol-related accidents declined during 2007-2008 and Frank (2012) reported that accidents and VMT declined between 2005 and 2010 during the times of day (generally late at night) that are considered to be the most dangerous times to drive. Both changes in driving behavior could reflect less risk taking by older drivers, drivers living in small households, and other drivers whose characteristics were associated with more risky behavior during normal economic conditions.<sup>22</sup>

At the same time, the recession could also induce some people to offset a potential loss in income by increasing their work effort, which could include taking jobs that involve longer commutes to work by automobile, taking an additional job that requires more on-the-job driving, and so on. Those responses could explain why we find that drivers of prime working ages and drivers of utility vehicles like SUVs and trucks increased their VMT.<sup>23</sup> This behavior implies that the decline in VMT that is generally observed during recessions is likely to be primarily explained by a decrease in commercial and on-the-clock driving, including for-hire trucking, other delivery services, and certain business-related driving during the workday. Indeed, data provided to us by the Traffic Monitoring Section of the Ohio Department of Transportation indicated that as of 2013, vehicle-miles-traveled by trucks on the Ohio state system of roads, including Interstates, U.S. Routes, and State Routes, had declined notably during the recession and continued to do so even thereafter (roughly 10% during our sample period).

---

<sup>22</sup> Bhatti et al. (2008) reported that individuals in France were less likely to drive while they were sleepy soon after they retired from the workforce. The changes in driving behavior may also reflect less risk taking by the youngest drivers, who are generally included among the most dangerous drivers. However, as noted, the State Farm data tended to include very few of those drivers, so we could not identify how they adjusted their VMT in response to the recession.

<sup>23</sup> Note we are suggesting that those drivers increased their VMT on vehicles that were used for work-trips and non-work trips, not on vehicles that were used for commercial purposes only.

**Table 2.** Unemployment and Vehicle Miles Traveled: OLS Estimation

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
<b>Dependent Variable:</b>	County VMT <sup>a</sup>	County VMT <sup>a</sup>	Indiv. VMT	Indiv. VMT	Indiv. VMT
County Unemployment Rate	-0.21*** (0.06)	-0.27* (0.16)	-0.03** (0.01)	0.01 (0.11)	0.09 (0.08)
County Fixed Effects?	N	Y	N	Y	N
Year-Month Fixed Effects?	N	Y	N	Y	Y
Driver Fixed Effects?	N	N	N	N	Y
<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	0.003	0.43	0.001	0.03	0.58
Num. Observations	4,312	4,312	291,834	291,834	291,834

<sup>a</sup>The dependent variable is measured as a daily average over the month for each county.

Robust standard errors clustered by county are reported in parentheses.


\*\*\* - 99% significance level, \*\* - 95% significance level, \* - 90% significance level.

Figure 5. County Map of Ohio




Source: Ohio Department of Transportation

**Figure 6.** Relationship between a Ohio County's and its Neighbors' Unemployment Rates



Source: US Bureau of Labor Statistics

**Figure 7.** Strength of Instruments



Note: Each bar corresponds to a single first stage regression with year-month fixed effects, county fixed effects and the corresponding number of lagged instruments. For each regression, we report the estimated coefficient on the most lagged instrument and its 95% confidence interval.

**Table 3.** Unemployment Rates and Vehicle Miles Traveled: IV Estimates

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
<b>Dependent Variable:</b>	County VMT	Indiv. VMT	Indiv. VMT	Indiv. VMT	Indiv. VMT
County Unemployment Rate	-1.08*** (0.30)	-0.18 (0.22)	0.22 (0.58)	0.01 (0.20)	0.03 (0.06)
County Fixed Effects?	Y	Y	Y	N	N
Year-Month Fixed Effects?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Driver Fixed Effects?		N	N	Y	Y
IVs from?	Neighbors of Neighboring Counties	Neighboring Counties	Neighbors of Neighboring Counties	Neighboring Counties	Neighbors of Neighboring Counties
J-statistic (p-value)	1.28 (0.97)	2.82 (0.83)	3.70 (0.72)	2.32 (0.89)	0.91 (0.99)
$R^2$	0.42	0.03	0.03	0.58	0.61
Num. Observations	4,312	291,834	291,834	291,834	291,834

Dependent variable is measured as a daily average. Specifications (2) and (4) are estimated using six lags of monthly unemployment rates in neighboring counties as instruments. Specifications (1), (3), and (5) are estimated using six lags of monthly unemployment rates in neighbors of neighboring counties as instruments. J-statistics are reported from Hansen's (1982) over-identification test. Robust standard errors clustered by county are reported in parentheses.

\*\*\* - 99% significance level, \*\* - 95% significance level, \* - 90% significance level.

**Table 4.** The Effect of Unemployment on VMT Accounting for Driver and Vehicle Characteristics: IV Estimates

<b>Dependent Variable:</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>(3)</b>
	Indiv. Average Daily VMT	Indiv. Average Daily VMT	Indiv. Average Daily VMT
County Unemployment Rate	0.32 (0.24)	-0.33 (0.23)	0.32 (0.24)
×1(Driver Filed Accident Claim During Sample Period?)	-0.31*** (0.04)		-0.31*** (0.04)
×1(Driver between 30 and 50?)	0.59*** (0.07)		0.52*** (0.07)
×1(Driver over 60?)	-0.90*** (0.06)		-0.85*** (0.06)
×1(Driver in a 1 or 2 person household?)	-0.30*** (0.03)		-0.28*** (0.03)
×1(Vehicle is at least five years old?)		-0.73*** (0.04)	-0.57*** (0.04)
×1(Vehicle is an SUV or Truck?)		0.76*** (0.06)	0.54*** (0.05)
County Fixed Effects?	Y	Y	Y
Year-Month Fixed Effects?	Y	Y	Y
$R^2$	0.10	0.05	0.11
Num. Observations	291,834	291,834	291,834

Notes: The dependent variable is individual average daily VMT. The county unemployment rate is interacted with dummy variables as listed in each specification. All specifications are estimated using six lags of monthly unemployment rates in neighboring counties as instruments. Robust standard errors clustered by county are reported in parentheses.

\*\*\* - 99% significance level, \*\* - 95% significance level, \* - 90% significance level.

## Discussion

---

### *Implications for Automobile Safety*

The final step in our analysis is to link the change in different drivers' VMT to potential improvements in automobile safety. As noted, we lack the statistical power to analyze individual drivers' fatalities, so we analyze the determinants of total monthly automobile fatalities in each of Ohio's 88 counties.<sup>24</sup> For each county in each month, we compute the average daily VMT of drivers in the State Farm sample, and we obtain the number of motor vehicle occupant fatalities from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) database. We include monthly fixed effects to capture statewide trends such as changes in gasoline prices and alcohol consumption.<sup>25</sup>

In addition, effective August 31, 2012, a new Ohio law prohibits persons who are less than 18 years of age from texting and from using an electronic wireless communications device in any manner while driving. Abouk and Adams (2013) found that texting bans had an initial effect that reduced accident fatalities but that this effect could not be sustained. In any case, our monthly fixed effects capture the introduction of this ban. We also specify county fixed effects, which capture the effect of variation in police enforcement of maximum speed limits and other traffic laws, differences in roadway topography and conditions, and other influences that vary geographically, on highway fatalities.<sup>26</sup>

The first column of parameter estimates in Table 5 shows that VMT and the county unemployment rate on their own do not affect fatalities, but their interaction does have a statistically significant (at the 90% level) negative effect on vehicle fatalities. Based on our previous estimation results, we hypothesize that increases in the unemployment rate reduce automobile fatalities by increasing the share of total VMT accounted for by safer drivers. While this specification cannot capture any effect of the changing composition of drivers, we can capture that effect by estimating the determinants of fatality rates. Because we found that unemployment does not significantly affect the VMT of the *average* driver in our sample, any reduction in the average county fatality rate due to unemployment must be attributable to a reduction in the average fatality rate of all drivers. Such a reduction could occur only if there was a change in the composition of VMT for the drivers in our sample, or if some motorists drove more safely as unemployment rose.

---

<sup>24</sup> Although our data from State Farm include individual drivers' claims related to predominantly non-fatal accidents, we found that even those claims were too infrequent to analyze empirically.

<sup>25</sup> We obtained average monthly gasoline prices from GasBuddy.com that varied by county, but when we included them in the model they had a statistically insignificant effect on fatalities and had no effect on the other parameter estimates. This is not surprising given that we include the year-month fixed effects.

<sup>26</sup> DeAngelo and Hansen (2014) found that budget cuts in Oregon that resulted in large layoffs of roadway troopers were associated with a significant increase in traffic fatalities and The National Economic Council (2014) concluded that poor road conditions were associated with a large share of traffic fatalities.

The second column of Table 5 presents the results of OLS estimates showing that an increase in the county unemployment rate does appear to reduce the average county fatality rate. The magnitude of the effect of unemployment on the fatality rate is potentially underestimated because a decline in VMT due to increasing unemployment, which we reported in our OLS estimates in Table 2, columns 1 and 2, would by itself mechanically *increase* the fatality rate. In column 3, we address this potential bias by re-estimating the model using six lags of the unemployment rate in neighboring counties as instruments for the local unemployment rate.<sup>27</sup> As expected, the resulting estimates show that the effect of the county unemployment rate on the fatality rate increases—in fact, nearly doubles—and that this effect is statistically significant. The average daily fatality rate in our sample is 0.03; thus, our estimated coefficient implies that a one percentage point increase in unemployment reduces the fatality rate by roughly 16%.<sup>28</sup>

Of course, other influences on the driving environment within counties may vary over time and thus help to explain why observed automobile fatalities declined during our sample period. In the fourth and fifth columns of Table 5, we present estimates that include per-capita transfers from the state of Ohio to each county, including both intergovernmental transfers from the state to counties and direct capital spending by state government within each county. Those variables control for financial conditions that may be correlated with the driving environment that motorists encounter in different counties, and that affect highway safety. We also include a measure of cold weather conditions, the number of days with minimum temperatures less than or equal to 32 degrees Fahrenheit, which may adversely affect highway safety.<sup>29</sup>

The parameter estimates reported in columns (4) and (5) indicate that the capital transfers, which are primarily used to improve transportation and infrastructure, reduce fatalities per VMT, and their effect has some statistical reliability. However, the intergovernmental transfer and weather measures are statistically insignificant, perhaps because they vary insufficiently across Ohio counties to allow us to identify their effects. In any case, the effect of the unemployment rate is only slightly reduced by including those variables, and remains statistically significant. As a further robustness check, we control

---

<sup>27</sup> The instrumental variable parameter estimates presented in this column and in the other columns of the table were statistically indistinguishable from those that were obtained when we used the unemployment rate in neighbors of neighboring counties as an instrument.

<sup>28</sup> We obtain this figure by multiplying a hypothetical one percentage point increase in the unemployment rate by the coefficient capturing its effect on the fatality rate and expressing it as a percentage of the average fatality rate in the sample (i.e.,  $\frac{-0.49 \times 1\%}{0.03} \approx 16\%$ ).

<sup>29</sup> Annual county level financial data (expressed in 2013 dollars) are from the Ohio Legislative Service Commission. The majority of capital spending is allocated to transportation and infrastructure, while the majority of subsidies are allocated to Revenue Distribution, Justice and Corrections, and Education and Health and Human Services and some is also allocated to local governments for infrastructure. Monthly weather data are from the National Climatic Data Center of the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration. We used readings from local weather stations in 76 Ohio counties. For the 12 counties without fully operational stations, we used data from the neighboring county with the longest shared border. We also explored using a precipitation measure of weather, but a number of weather stations did not report that information.

for any time-varying effects on fatalities that may differ between urban and rural counties, which could include changes in commercial driving, by specifying separate, fully flexible time trends for those county classifications.<sup>30</sup> The traffic fatality rate in 2012 on Ohio's non-interstate rural roads was 2.15 per 100 million miles of travel compared with a traffic fatality rate of 0.63 on all its other roads (TRIP (2014)). The estimates presented in the fifth column show that including those time trends increases the regression's overall goodness of fit, but again has no effect on the estimated parameter for the county unemployment rate, which increases the confidence we have in the validity of our instrumental variables.

Based on the specification in the last column of Table 5, a 1 percentage point increase in unemployment reduces the fatality rate 14% on average.<sup>31</sup> Extrapolating this estimate of a *local* average treatment effect to the entire United States implies that simulating the safer driver composition of VMT that results from a 1 percentage point increase in unemployment throughout the country could reduce the roughly 34,000 annual fatalities by as many as 4,800 lives per year.<sup>32</sup> Extrapolating our results to estimate the effects of more dramatic economic shocks, such as the 4 to 8 percentage point increases in unemployment experienced by some parts of the country during the great recession, would be inappropriate and potentially misleading.

In addition to those benefits, changing the mix of VMT to reflect a larger share of safer drivers would reduce injuries in non-fatal accidents, vehicle and other property damage, and congestion. Accounting for the reductions in all of those social costs by assuming plausible values for life and limb, time spent in congested traffic, and the cost of repairs yields an estimate of total annual benefits in the tens of billions of dollars, with some favorable distributional effects.<sup>33</sup>

---

<sup>30</sup> Urban counties are defined as those in which more than 50% of the population lives in an urban setting as defined by the 2010 U.S. Census.

<sup>31</sup> As before, this figure is obtained by multiplying a hypothetical one percentage point increase in the unemployment rate by the coefficient capturing its effect on the fatality rate and expressing it as a percentage of the average fatality rate in the sample, so  $\frac{-0.43\%}{0.03} \approx -14\%$ . The decline in Ohio's unemployment rate during 2009 to 2012 was associated with a modest increase in its fatality rate, but that association does not hold any other effects constant, such as alcohol consumption, which would affect the fatality rate.

<sup>32</sup> Ohio's 2012 fatality rate per 100,000 people of 9.73 is reasonably close to the average fatality rate of all states and the District of Columbia of 10.69 (Sivak (2014)). Thus our extrapolation based on Ohio's drivers' behavior and safety environment should not be a poor prediction of the likely nationwide improvement in automobile safety. Because we have tried to hold commercial driving constant in this specification, which generally declines when unemployment increases thereby reducing fatal accidents, we have probably overestimated the precise number of lives that would be saved. However, our estimate of annual lives saved in the thousands is of the right order of magnitude.

<sup>33</sup> Per capita pedestrian death rates from automobile accidents are greater in lower income census tracts than in higher income census tracts. Data provided to us by *Governing* magazine, published in Washington, D.C., shows that this difference has widened as the U.S. economy has come out of the recession and unemployment has decreased. Changing the mix of VMT to reflect a larger share of

We previously hypothesized that our estimate of benefits may be conservative because the share of risky drivers in our sample is likely to be less than the share of risky drivers in the population. To test this possibility, we estimated weighted regressions based on weights we constructed from data provided by State Farm on the household characteristics of drivers in Ohio's population. For example, when we re-estimated the specification in Table 5 weighting the regression based on the age of drivers in Ohio's driving population, we found that the effect of a 1 percentage point increase in unemployment doubles the reduction in the fatality rate to 29%, on average, which confirms that our estimates based on the unweighted regressions are conservative.<sup>34</sup>

---

safer drivers would reduce the difference in pedestrian death rates across census tracts with different levels of income.

<sup>34</sup> We constructed driving age sampling weights for the regressions using the following procedure. We obtained year-month-county level data on the number of drivers in eight distinct age bins (under 25, 25-34, 35-44, ..., 65-74, over 75) from State Farm. We used these data to estimate the age distribution of the *population* of drivers in each year, month, and county. We then weighted each observation in our data set by the relative probability that it was sampled (where that weight is given by:  $\text{Weight} = \frac{\text{Pr}(in\ Pop.)}{\text{Pr}(in\ Sample)}$ ) and re-estimated the regressions by weighted least squares. We also constructed household size and vehicle type sampling weights by an analogous procedure using data from State Farm on the household size and vehicle type distributions of the population of drivers in each year, month, and county. We again found that our weighted regressions tended to yield estimates of the effect of unemployment on the fatality rate that were greater than the estimates obtained by the unweighted regressions.

**Table 5.** Automotive Fatalities, Unemployment, and VMT

<b>Dependent Variable:</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>(3)</b>	<b>(4)</b>	<b>(5)</b>
	Fatalities	Fatalities/ Daily VMT	Fatalities/ Daily VMT	Fatalities/ Daily VMT	Fatalities/ Daily VMT
Average Daily VMT	0.01 (0.01)				
County Unemployment Rate	0.19 (0.36)	-0.26*** (0.09)	-0.49** (0.19)	-0.43** (0.20)	-0.43** (0.21)
County Unemployment Rate × Average Daily VMT	-0.12* (0.07)				
Per Capita State-to-County Transfers, Subsidy (Millions)				1.53 (6.65)	-2.00 (6.57)
Per Capita State-to-County Transfers, Capital (Millions)				-7.84 (4.99)	-7.16 (4.91)
Number of Days with Minimum Temperature Less than or Equal to 32F × 100				-0.03 (0.04)	-0.01 (0.04)
County Fixed Effects?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Year-Month Fixed Effects?	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
Year-Month-Urban County Fixed Effects?	N	N	N	N	Y
Estimation Method	OLS	OLS	2SLS	2SLS	2SLS
$R^2$	0.46	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.44
Number of obs.	4,312	4,312	4,312	4,312	4,312

Robust standard errors clustered by county are reported in parentheses.

\*\*\* - 99% significance level, \*\* - 95% significance level, \* - 90% significance level.

## Qualifications

---

We have addressed the long-standing puzzle in automobile safety of why fatalities per vehicle-mile decline during recessions by showing that a downturn in the economy causes the mix of drivers' VMT to change so that the share of riskier drivers' VMT decreases while the share of safer drivers' VMT increases. This combination results in a large reduction in automobile fatalities. It is also possible that this result arises partly because riskier drivers actually drive more safely—rather than simply driving less—during recessions. To the extent that this contributes to the result we observe, however, it reinforces our argument that a key to improving highway safety is to reduce the safety differential between drivers with varying degrees of riskiness.

We were able to perform our empirical analysis by obtaining a unique, disaggregated sample of Ohio drivers. The sample's main drawback is that middle-aged (and thus arguably safer) drivers are over-represented, while younger and arguably more dangerous drivers are under-represented. Nonetheless, we were able to observe sufficient heterogeneity among drivers to document our explanation of the automobile safety puzzle and to show that aggregate data, which continues to be used to analyze highway safety, is potentially misleading because it obscures differences among drivers and their responses to varying conditions that affect vehicle use and road safety. Indeed, the extent of aggregation bias may be even greater in a representative sample of Ohio drivers because such a sample would include a greater share of younger drivers and would capture more heterogeneity than was captured in our sample. In addition, our sensitivity tests using regressions that were weighted to more accurately reflect the characteristics of drivers in the population indicated that our findings based on the unweighted regressions were conservative.

While we do control for the effects of many aspects of the driving environment, our findings certainly do not rule out other possible explanations of the traffic safety problem. We hope to have motivated other scholars to build on our work and findings by assembling and analyzing a more extensive and representative disaggregated sample of drivers and their behavior.<sup>35</sup>

---

<sup>35</sup> Using a disaggregate data set to link VMT to the business cycle is also important to get a more precise understanding of how much VMT will increase as the economy completes its recovery. For example, recent aggregate estimates of VMT released by the Federal Highway Administration indicate that as of June 2014, Americans' driving is at levels that have not been seen since 2008, fueling calls for greater investment in highways that must bear growing volumes of traffic. At the same time, some observers have claimed that younger people are driving less than previous generations in their age group drove, which has implications for forecasts of VMT growth and estimates of funds for future highway spending. In addition, the financial success of any public-private highway partnerships will be affected by the accuracy of traffic growth estimates.

## References

---


- About, Rahi and Scott Adams. 2013. "Texting Bans and Fatal Accidents on Roadways: Do They Work? Or Do Drivers Just React to Announcements of Bans?," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, volume 5, April, pp. 179-199.
- Anderson, Michael L. and Maximilian Auffhammer. 2014. "Pounds That Kill: The External Costs of Vehicle Weight," *Review of Economic Studies*, volume 81, April, pp. 535-571.
- JA Bhatti, A. Constant, LR Salmi, M. Chiron, S. Lafont, M Zins, and E Lagarde. 2008. "Impact of Retirement on Risky Driving Behavior and Attitudes Toward Road Safety among a Large Cohort of French Drivers," *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, volume 34, August, pp. 307-315.
- Coates, J.M. 2008. "Endogenous Steroids and Financial Risk Taking on a London Trading Floor," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, volume 105, pp. 6167-6172.
- Cotti, Chad and Nathan Tefft. 2011. "Decomposing the Relationship between Macroeconomic Conditions and Fatal Car Crashes during the Great Recession: Alcohol and Non-Alcohol-Related Accidents," *The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy*, volume 11, August, pp. 1-22.
- Crandall, Robert W., Howard K. Gruenspecht, Theodore E. Keeler, and Lester B. Lave. 1986. *Regulating the Automobile*, Brookings Institution, Washington, D.C.
- DeAngelo, Gregory and Benjamin Hansen. 2014. "Life and Death in the Fast Lane: Police Enforcement and Traffic Fatalities," *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, volume 6, May, pp. 231-257.
- Dohmen, Thomas, Armin Falk, David Huffman, Uwe Sunde, Jurgen Schupp, and Gert G. Wagner. 2011. "Individual Risk Attitudes: Measurement, Determinants, and Behavioral Consequences," *Journal of the European Economic Association*, volume 9, June, pp. 522-550.
- Dubin, Jeffrey A. and Daniel L. McFadden. 1984. "An Econometric Analysis of Residential Electric Appliance Holdings and Consumption," *Econometrica*, volume 52, March, pp. 345-362.
- Edlin, Aaron S. and Pinar Karaca-Mandic. 2006. "The Accident Externality from Driving," *Journal of Political Economy*, volume 114, October, pp. 931-955.
- Evans, William N. and Timothy J. Moore. 2012. "Liquidity, Economic Activity, and Mortality," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, volume 94, May, pp. 400-418.
- Frank, Parry. 2012. "Hour-of-the-Week Crash Trends between the Years 2005-2010 for the Chicago, Illinois Region," Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning working paper.

- Hansen, Lars Peter. 1982. "Large Sample Properties of Generalized Method of Moments Estimators," *Econometrica*, volume 50, July, pp. 1029-1054.
- Huff Stevens, Ann, Douglas L. Miller, Marianne E. Page, and Mateusz Filipski. 2011. "The Best of Times, The Worst of Times: Understanding Pro-Cyclical Mortality," NBER working paper 17657, December.
- Jacobsen, Mark R. 2013. "Fuel Economy and Safety: The Influences of Vehicle Class and Driver Behavior," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, volume 5, July, pp. 1-26.
- Mannering, Fred L. 1983. "An Econometric Analysis of Vehicle Use in Multivehicle Households," *Transportation Research A*, volume 17A, Number 3, pp. 183-189.
- Mannering, Fred and Clifford Winston. 1985. "A Dynamic Empirical Analysis of Household Vehicle Ownership and Utilization," *Rand Journal of Economics*, volume 16, Summer, pp. 215-236.
- Morris, Joseph R. 2011. "Achieving Traffic Safety Goals in the United States: Lessons from Other Nations," *Transportation Research News 272*: New TRB Special Report, January-February, pp. 30-33.
- Muir, Carolyn, Anna Devlin, Jennie Oxley, Chelvi Kopinathan, Judith Charlton, and Sjaanie Koppel. 2010. "Parents as Role Models in Road Safety," Monash University Accident Research Centre, Report No. 302, August.
- National Economic Council. 2014. *An Economic Analysis of Transportation Infrastructure Investment*, The White House, Washington, D.C., July.
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. 2013. "How Vehicle Age and Model Year Relate to Driver Injury Severity in Fatal Crashes," Traffic Safety Facts, NHTSA, U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C., August.
- Parry, Ian W. H. and Kenneth A. Small. 2005. "Does Britain or the United States Have the Right Gasoline Tax?," *American Economic Review*, volume 95, September, pp. 1276-1289.
- Peltzman, Sam. 1975. "The Effects of Automobile Safety Regulations," *Journal of Political Economy*, volume 83, August, pp. 677-726.
- Peterman, David Randall. 2013. *Federal Traffic Safety Programs: An Overview*, Congressional Research Service Report for Congress, Washington, D.C., April.
- Reimer, Bryan. 2014. "Driver Assistance Systems and the Transition to Automated Vehicles: A Path to Increase Older Adult Safety and Mobility?," *Public Policy and Aging Report*, volume 24, pp. 27-31.
- Ruhm, Christopher J. 2013. "Recessions, Healthy No More?," NBER working paper 19287, August.

- Ruhm, Christopher J. 2000. "Are Recessions Good for Your Health?," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, volume 115, May, pp. 617-650.
- Sivak, Michael. 2014. "Road Safety in the Individual U.S. States: Current Status and Recent Changes," University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute report no. UMTRI-2014-20, July.
- Stewart, Susan T. and David M. Cutler. 2014. "The Contribution of Behavior Change and Public Health to Improved U.S. Population Health," National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 20631, October.
- Tefft, Brian C. 2012. "Motor Vehicle Crashes, Injuries, and Deaths in Relation to Driver Age: United States, 1995-2010," AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety, Washington, D.C., November.
- Train, Kenneth E. and Clifford Winston. 2007. "Vehicle Choice Behavior and the Declining Market Share of U.S. Automakers," *International Economic Review*, volume 48, November, pp. 1469-1496.
- TRIP. 2014. "Rural Connections: Challenges and Opportunities in America's Heartland," July, Washington, D.C., [www.tripnet.org](http://www.tripnet.org)
- Winston, Clifford. 2013. "On the Performance of the U.S. Transportation System: Caution Ahead," *Journal of Economic Literature*, volume 51, September, pp. 773-824.
- Winston, Clifford, Vikram Maheshri, and Fred Mannering. 2006. "An Exploration of the Offset Hypothesis Using Disaggregate Data: The Case of Airbags and Antilock Brakes," *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, volume 32, pp. 83-99.
- Winston, Clifford and Fred Mannering. 2014. "Implementing Technology to Improve Public Highway Performance: A Leapfrog Technology from the Private Sector is Going to be Necessary," *Economics of Transportation*, volume 3, pp. 158-165.




**Figure 1.** National Monthly Automobile Fatalities over the Business Cycle




Notes: Recessions as determined by the National Bureau of Economic Research are denoted by shaded areas. Fatality and VMT data are from the Fatality Analysis Reporting System of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

**Figure 3.** Distribution of Unemployment Rates by County in Ohio, 2009-2013



Source: US Bureau of Labor Statistics.

**Figure 4.** Ohio Average Individual Daily VMT and Unemployment Rate



Source: Average individual VMT from State Farm; Seasonally unadjusted unemployment data from the US Bureau of Labor Statistics.

**Table 4.** The Effect of Unemployment on VMT Accounting for Driver and Vehicle Characteristics: IV Estimates

<b>Dependent Variable:</b>	<b>(1)</b> Indiv. Average Daily VMT	<b>(2)</b> Indiv. Average Daily VMT	<b>(3)</b> Indiv. Average Daily VMT
County Unemployment Rate	0.32 (0.24)	-0.33 (0.23)	0.32 (0.24)
×1(Driver Filed Accident Claim During Sample Period?)	-0.31*** (0.04)		-0.31*** (0.04)
×1(Driver between 30 and 50?)	0.59*** (0.07)		0.52*** (0.07)
×1(Driver over 60?)	-0.90*** (0.06)		-0.85*** (0.06)
×1(Driver in a 1 or 2 person household?)	-0.30*** (0.03)		-0.28*** (0.03)
×1(Vehicle is at least five years old?)		-0.73*** (0.04)	-0.57*** (0.04)
×1(Vehicle is an SUV or Truck?)		0.76*** (0.06)	0.54*** (0.05)
County Fixed Effects?	Y	Y	Y
Year-Month Fixed Effects?	Y	Y	Y
<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	0.10	0.05	0.11
Num. Observations	291,834	291,834	291,834

Notes: The dependent variable is individual average daily VMT. The county unemployment rate is interacted with dummy variables as listed in each specification. All specifications are estimated using six lags of monthly unemployment rates in neighboring counties as instruments. Robust standard errors clustered by county are reported in parentheses.

\*\*\* - 99% significance level, \*\* - 95% significance level, \* - 90% significance level.